PERIODIC SAFETY FACTOR ASSESSMENT 391-3-4-.10(4) and 40 C.F.R. PART 257.73 PLANT SCHERER ASH POND (AP-1) GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

The Federal CCR Rule, and, for Existing Surface Impoundments where applicable, the Georgia CCR Rule (391-3-4-.10) require the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment to conduct initial and periodic safety factor assessments. *See* 40 C.F.R. § 257.73(e); Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 391.3-4-.10(4)(b)¹. The owner or operator must conduct an assessment of the CCR unit and document that the minimum safety factors outlined in § 257.73(e)(1)(i) through (iv) for the critical embankment section are achieved. In addition, the Rules require a subsequent assessment be performed within 5 years of the previous assessment. *See* 40 C.F.R. § 257.73(f)(3); Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 391.3-4-.10(4)(b)¹.

The CCR surface impoundment known as the Plant Scherer Ash Pond 1 (AP-1) is located on Plant Scherer property, approximately 8 miles northeast of Forsyth, Georgia. The ash pond is formed by engineered cross-valley embankments. The critical section of this CCR unit was previously determined to be the embankment located on the north side of the impoundment. Under current conditions, the north side embankment remains the critical section. The Notification of Intent to Initiate Closure was placed in the Operating Record on 10/30/2020 and closure has been designed to have no negative impacts on the stability of the perimeter embankments.

The analyses used to determine the minimum safety factor for the critical section resulted in the following minimum safety factors:

Loading Condition	Minimum Calculated	Minimum Required
	Safety Factor	Safety Factor
Long-term Maximum Storage Pool (Static)	1.6	1.5
Maximum Surcharge Pool (Static)	1.6	1.4
Seismic	1.4	1.0

The embankments of the ash pond are constructed of clays, silts and silty sands that are not susceptible to liquefaction. Therefore, a minimum liquefaction safety factor determination was not required.

This assessment is supported by appropriate engineering calculations which are attached.

^[1] In a typographical error, 391.3-4.10(4)(b) references the "structural integrity criteria in 40 CFR 247.73," when the reference to such criteria should be 40 CFR 257.73.

I hereby certify that the safety factor assessment was conducted in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 257.73 (e)(1).

.

RG 0 EGISTER 6 No. PE0017419 PROFESSIONAL \star \$ and the second s 2021 Ja POREIR, PE No. 17419 ensed State



Calculation Number:	
TV-SH-GPC1102937-001	

Project/Plant:	Unit(s):	Discipline/Area:						
Plant Scherer Ash Pond	-	Env. Solutions						
Title/Subject: Periodic Factor of Safety Assessment for CCR Rule								
Purpose/Objective: Determine the Factor of Safety of the Ash Pond Dike								
System or Equipment Tag Numbers: n/a	Originator: Jacob A.	Jordan, P.E.						

Contents

		Attachments	# of
Торіс	Page	(Computer Printouts, Tech. Papers, Sketches, Correspondence)	Pages
Purpose of Calculation	2	Attachment A – Boring Location Plan	1
Summary of Conclusions	2	Attachment B – Boring Logs	5
Methodology	2	Attachment C – Soil Laboratory Analysis	26
Criteria and Assumptions	2	Attachment D – Foundation Soil p'-q' Plot – 1976	4
Design Inputs/References	4	Data (with Linear Regression)	4
Body of Calculation	6-8		
Total # of pages including cover sheet & attachments:	49		

Revision Record

Rev. No.	Description	Originator Initial / Date	Reviewer Initial / Date	Approver Initial / Date
0	Issued for Information	JAJ/06-09-21	JCP/06-09-21	JCP/06-09-21

Notes:

Purpose of Calculation

Plant Scherer has disposed of coal combustion by-products (ash) in one main storage impoundment that was commissioned in 1980. The Ash Pond dike was constructed to a crest elevation of El. 505 with 3(H):1(V) upstream and downstream slopes, intermediate berms, and a maximum height of approximately 110 ft. The stability of this structure was analyzed in 2016 for the CCR Rule. The purpose of this calculation is to update the stability analysis of the Ash Pond dike.

Summary of Conclusions

The following table lists the factors of safety for various slope stability failure conditions. All conditions are steady state except where noted. Construction cases were not considered. The analyses indicate that in all cases the factor of safety is above the require minimum.

Load Conditions	Computed Factor of Safety	Required Minimum Factor of Safety
Long-term Maximum Storage (Static)	1.6	1.5
Maximum Surcharge Pool (Static)	1.6	1.4
Seismic	1.4	1.0

Methodology

The calculation was performed using the following methods and software:

- GeoStudio 2021 R2 version 11.1.1.22085 Copyright 1991-2021, GEO-SLOPE International, Ltd.
- Strata (Version 0.8.0), University of Texas, Austin
- Morgenstern-Price analytical method

Criteria and Assumptions

The slope stability models were run using the following assumptions and design criteria:

- Seismic site response was determined using a one-dimensional equivalent linear site response analysis. The analysis was performed using Strata and utilizing random vibration theory. The input motion consisted of the USGS published 2014 Uniform Hazard Response Spectrum (UHRS) for Site Class B/C at a 2% Probability of Exceedance in 50 years. The UHRS was converted to a Fourier Amplitude Spectrum, and propagated through a representative one-dimensional soil column using linear wave propagation with strain-dependent dynamic soil properties. The input soil properties and layer thickness were randomized based on defined statistical distributions to perform Monte Carlo simulations for 100 realizations, which were used to generate a median estimate of the surface ground motions.
- The median surface ground motions were then used to calculate a pseudostatic seismic coefficient for utilization in the stability analysis using the approach suggested by Bray and Tavasarou (2009). The procedure calculates the seismic coefficient for an allowable

seismic displacement and a probability exceedance of the displacement. For this analysis, an allowable displacement of 0.5 ft, and a probability of exceedance of 16% were conservatively selected, providing a seismic coefficient of 0.036g for use as a horizontal acceleration in the stability analysis.

- The current required minimum criteria (factors of safety) were taken from the Structural Integrity Criteria for existing CCR surface impoundment from 40 CFR 257.73, published April 17, 2015.
- The soil properties of unit weight, phi angle, and cohesion were obtained from triaxial shear testing performed on UD samples of the dike fill material obtained during drilling in July 2010, and from data analyses on the Strength Properties of Foundation dated November 2, 1976 and parameters used during the stability analysis indicated on Plant Scherer Ash Pond Dam Stability Analysis dated May 30, 1986 and September 10, 2010. The triaxial shear testing was performed according to ASTM D 4767.
- Properties for ash were based on laboratory testing performed on undisturbed and remolded samples of ash from various plants and on previous project experience.
- The COE EM 1110-2-1902, October 2003, allows the use of the phreatic surface established for the maximum storage condition (normal pool) in the analysis for the maximum surcharge loading condition. This is based on the short term duration of the surcharge loading relative to the permeability of the embankment and the foundation materials. This method is used in the analysis for the impoundments at this facility with surcharge loading.
- The cross-sections of the dike were obtained using the following sources:
 - 1. Original design Drawing No. E1H1058 Section A-A
 - 2. Soil borings conducted in July 2010.

Ash Pond Soil Properties

The following soil properties were used in the analyses. This data was obtained from the laboratory triaxial testing performed in August 2010 by MACTEC and from a review and evaluation of the 1976, 1986, and 2010 analyses. The effective shear strength properties for the foundation soils were derived from the p' - q' plot of the 1976 data presented in Calculation No. 7, Strength Properties of Foundation, prepared in 1976 by Southern Company Services. Although the laboratory test results could not be located in Georgia Power files, the p'-q' data were apparently derived from normal and confining stresses obtained from triaxial tests performed on foundation soils obtained from numerous subsurface borings. The p'-q' data was plotted and a linear regression was performed to arrive at the cohesion and friction angle values used in the analyses herein.

Soil Matorials	Moist Unit	Effective Stress Parameters		Total Stress	Parameters	Data Source	
Soli Materiais	Weight (pcf)	Internal Friction Angle	Cohesion (psf)	Internal Friction Angle	Cohesion (psf)		
Embankment Fill	120	33	63	20	700	Triaxial Test Dated July 2010	
Foundation 108 Soil		24	302	20	500	Analysis Dated May 1986	
Rock Bolster	110	42	0	42	0		
Consolidated Ash	105	20	0			Analysis Dated September	
Sluiced Ash	80	10	0	10	0	2010	

Hydrologic Considerations

The following hydraulic information, based on the calculation package Schnabel Reference 16C17023.00, Hydrologic and Hydraulic Support Services, Coal Combustion Residuals Storage Analysis, dated August 15, 2016, prepared by Schnabel Engineering., was used in the analyses. This calculation states that the Ash Pond is capable of handling the PMP with a maximum surcharge pool elevation of 501.6.

Based on Georgia Power's (GP) Land Department Drawing P404-2, 20150465 Plant Scherer Ash Pond Aerial Topo and Bathymetric Survey, the top elevation of the ash along the east dike of the Ash Pond is approximately El. 497 as of October 31, 2015. This ash load has been be incorporated into the ash pond analyses for current conditions. An ash/final cover (closure) elevation for the Ash Pond of Elev. 505 is used for a full ash load case.

Loading Conditions

The Plant McIntosh Ash Pond Dike was evaluated for the maximum storage, maximum surcharge, and seismic loading conditions.

Design Inputs/References

E&CS Calculation TV-SH-GPC601471-591-001 USGS Earthquake Hazards website, http://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/hazmaps/. GPC Land Department Drawing P404-2 Plant Scherer Ash Pond – October 31, 2015 Survey GPC Drawing E1H1002 - Plant Scherer Ash Disposal Pond Dam General Sections and Details GPC Drawing E1H1058Plant Scherer Ash Disposal Pond Dam Plan, Sections and Details of Instrumentation Plant Scherer Ash Pond Dam Stability Analysis, November 1, 1976 Plant Scherer Ash Pond Dam Stability Analysis, May 30, 1986 Ref. 16C17023.00 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Support Services, Coal Combustion Residuals Storage Analysis, prepared by Schnabel Engineering, August 15, 2016 Boring Logs MACTEC Lab Report Foundation Soils p'- q' Plot – 1986 Data (with Linear Regression) Bray, J. D. and Travasarou, T., Pseudostatic Coefficient for Use in Simplified Seismic Slope Stability Evaluation, Journal of Geotechnical and Environmental Engineering, American Society of Civil Engineers, September 2009

Body of Calculation

SLOPE/W modeling attached.

Plant Scherer Ash Pond Dike Stability Analysis (Section A-A)

Downstream Steady State w/ Full Loading Ash



Method: Morgenstern-Price

Optimization of slip surface location

Plant Scherer Ash Pond Dike Stability Analysis (Section A-A)

Downstream Max. Surcharge Pool



Method: Morgenstern-Price

Optimization of slip surface location

Plant Scherer Ash Pond Dike Stability Analysis (Section A-A)

Downstream Seismic w/ Full Loading Ash (0.5 ft disp.)



Method: Morgenstern-Price

Optimization of slip surface location

Attachment A

Figures - Boring Location Plans



PIEZOMETER NUMBER	TOP OF PIPE ELEVATION	TIP DF PIF
AP1	508.92	375,6
AP2	508,19	459,0
AP3	495,92	420.0
AP4	457,63	420.0
AP5	457,79	378,0
AP6	478,89	415.1 [±]
AP7	478,44	441.1±
AP8	410,49	368.0
AP9	411,90	397,0
AP10	472,00	418.0
AP11	471.92	444,0
AP12	475,75	461.0±
AP13	475,19	443,0±
AP14	476,00	431.0±
APA4	485,80	427,0
APA4A	485,52	456.0
APA3	478.08	448.0
APA3A	478,69	467,0
APA2	474,61	439.0
APA2A	475,92	450.0
APA5	472,00	425.0
APA5A	472.00	443,0

DA	TE			REVI	SION		DA	TE			REVI	SION		DA	TE			REVI	S
PR.2	APPR.3	APPR.4	APPR.5	BY	снк'р	APPR.1	APPR.2	APPR.3	APPR.4	APPR.5	BY	снк'р	APPR.1	APPR.2	APPR.3	APPR.4	APPR.5	BY	

Attachment B

Boring Logs

sou	HERN	DRILL	ING L	OG			Hole No.	S-2	
Energy 1	COMP o Serve You	ANY GEOLOGIC	AL SE	RVICES			Sheet 1 of	5	
SITE _		Plant Sherer Ash Pond			HOLE DEPTH	126	SURF.ELEV.	N	/A
LOCATI	ON	Plant Scherer Ash Pond Dam Section A-A	COORI	DINATES N	N/A		E	N/A	
ANGLE		0 BEARING 0	CONTR		MACTEC	D	RILL NO.	N/A	
DRILLIN	IG METHOI	D Mud Rotory NO. SAMPLE	s	26	NO. U.	D. SAMPL	ES	3	
CASING	SIZE	N/ALENGTHN/A	co	RE SIZE	N/A		% REC.	N/A	
WATER	TABLE DE	ртн <u>N/A</u> _{ELEV.} <u>N/A</u> т	IME AFTE	R COMP.	N/A	DAT	E TAKEN	N/A	
TYPE G	ROUT	Portland QUANTITY N/A	N	1IX1	1:1 DRIL	LING STAI	RT DATE 6/2	2/2010	
DRILLE	R	Larry Carter RECORDER Javier Lopez APPR	OVED	Luke Ga	rland DRIL	LING COM	IP. DATE 6/2	3/2010	
Depth	Elev.	Material Description, Classification and Remarks	Sample No.	Stan From To	dard Penetration Test Blows	N	Comments	% Rec	RQD
0									
1									
2						1.0			
3		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	1	1-2.5	3-4-6	10			
4									
4									
5		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	2	3.5-5	3-5-6	11			
6									
7									
			2	0.5.0	2.0.4	C			
8		reddisn orange clayey SILT (ML)	3	6.5-8	3-2-4	6			
9									
10									
11		reddish orange clavey SILT (ML)	4	9.5-11	3-5-7	12			
10									
12									
13									
14									
15									
10		reddish orange clavey SILT (ML)	F	1/5 16	470	16			
01		TEQUIST UTAILYE CLAYEY SILT (IVIL)	5	14.3-10	4-1-9	10			
17									
18									
19									
20									
20		1							
21		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	6	19.5-21	4-7-9	16			
22									
23						S 2'	neiby Tube from 1.5-23.5		
24									

sou	THERN COME	DRILLI	NG L	.0G			Hole No.	S-2	
Energy t	to Serve You	r World" GEOLOGIC/	AL SE	RVICES			Sheet 2 of	5	
SITE _		Plant Sherer Ash Pond	Comple	Ston	TOTAL DEPTH	126	SURF.ELEV.	N	Ά
Depth	Elev.	Material Description, Classification and Remarks	No.	From To	Blows	N	Comments	% Rec	RQD
25									
26		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	7	24.5-26	3-6-6	12			
27									
28									
29									
30									
31		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	8	29.5-31	4-5-8	13			
32									
33									
34									
35									
36		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	9	34.5-36	4-6-7	13			
37									
38									
39									
40									
41		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	10	39.5-41	4-9-9	18			
42									
43									
44									
45									
46		reddish orange clavey SILT (ML)	11	44.5-46	5-7-10	17			
47									
48									
49									
50									
51		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	12	49.5-51	7-9-12	21			
52									
53									
54									
55									
56		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	13	54.5-56	5-7-8	15			

CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

SOUI						Hole No.	S-2		
Energy t	o Serve Yoı	Plant Sherer Ash Pond	GICAL SL	INVICES	TOTAL DEPTH	1	26 SURF.ELEV.	5 N/	/A
			Sample	Stan	dard Penetration Test				
Depth	Elev.	Material Description, Classification and Remarks	No.	From To	Blows	N	Comments	% Rec	RQD
57		-							
58		-							
59		-							
60		-							
61		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	14	59.5-61	6-8-12	20			
62									
63									
64							Shelby Tube from		
65		4					63.5-65.5		
66		4							
67		-					Shelby Tube from		
68		-					05.5-07.5		
69		_							
70									
71		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	15	69.5-71	8-8-12	20			
72									
73									
74									
75									
76		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	16	74.5-76	6-8-10	18			
77									
78									
79									
80									
81		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	17	79.5-81	6-9-12	21			
82		-							
83		4							
84									
85		-							
86		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	18	84.5-86	4-9-9	18			
87									
88									

Form GS9901 7-26-2004

sou	THERN COMF		NG L	.0G			Hole No.	S-2	
Energy i	o Serve Yoi	rr World" GEOLOGIC/	AL SE	RVICES		40	Sheet 4 of	5	
SITE _		Plant Sherer Ash Pond	0	Otar	TOTAL DEPTH	12	SURF.ELEV.	N/	A
Depth	Elev.	Material Description, Classification and Remarks	No.	From To	Blows	N	Comments	% Rec	RQD
89									
90									
91		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	19	89.5-91	6-8-10	18			
92									
93									
94									
95									
96		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	20	94.5-96	8-11-17	28			
97									
98									
99									
100									
101		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	21	99.5-101	5-10-14	24			
102									
103									
104									
105									
106		reddish orange clayey SILT (ML)	22	104.5-106	6-9-13	22	fill		
107									
108									
109									
110									
111		gray and yellow sandy SILT (ML)	23	109.5-111	8-10-13	23	residual		
112									
113									
114									
115		aray and yellow candy SILT (ML)	24	114 5-116	6-12-16	28			
117		gray and yenow sandy SIET (IVIE)	4	01150.0	0-12-10	20			<u> </u>
118									
119									
120									

CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

sou	THERN COMF	ANY	DRILLIN	G L	OG			Hole No.	S-2	
Energy t	o Serve You	ur World"	GEOLOGICAL	SE	RVICES			Sheet 5 of	5	
SITE _		Plant Sherer As	h Pond			TOTAL DEPTH	126	SURF.ELEV.	N/	'A
Depth	Elev.	Material Description, Classification and	nd Remarks	Sample No.	Stan From To	dard Penetration Test Blows	N	Comments	% Rec	RQD
121		gray and yellow sandy SILT (ML)	_	25	119.5-121	13-17-20	37			
122										
123		-								
124										
125		-								
126		gray and white silty SAND (SM)		26	124.5-126	34-50/5	100+			
127		Boring Completed @ 126								
128		-								
129		-								
130		-								
131		-								
132		-								
133		-								
134		-								
135		-								
136		-								
137		-								
138		-								
139		-								
140		-								
141		-								
142		-								
143		-								
144		-								
145		-								
146		-								
147										
148										
149		4								
150		4								
151		4								
152										

Attachment C

Soil Laboratory Analyses by MACTEC Engineering and Consulting.



engineering and constructing a better tomorrow

August 19, 2010

Mr. Wayne Wang, P.E. Southern Company Services Bin 10185 241 Ralph McGill Boulevard NE Atlanta, GA 30308-3374

Telephone No. 404-506-1324 Email: wwang@southernco.com

Subject: Report of Laboratory Testing Plant Scherer Ash Pond MACTEC Project No.: 6152-10-0200

Mr. Wang:

MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc. (MACTEC) has completed the laboratory testing for Plant Scherer Ash Pond project. The work was authorized by Mr. Wayne Wang. Ten Shelby tube samples were delivered to our Atlanta office on June 28, 2010.

As requested by Mr. Wang, MACTEC performed six Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Compressive tests (ASTM D4767). The test results arte included in the Appendix.

We appreciate the opportunity of serving your laboratory testing need and look forward to our continued association. If you have questions or if we can be of further assistance, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

MACTEC ENGINEERING AND CONSULTING, INC.

Jianren Wang, P.E. Principal Engineer

In E Lynch

Principal

APPENDIX



Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Che	ck [)ate	Test File
Ο	UD	103	34.1	16.5-18.5ft	JW	7/2/10	949	8	20	110	10334.1_2581.dat
Δ	UD	103	34.2	16.5-18.5ft	JW	7/2/10	242	8	20	10	10334.2a_2582.dat
Ľ	UD	103	334.3	16.5-18.5ft	JW	7/2/10	222	8	20	110	10334.3a_2583.dat
			r								
						.					
-11		· ^	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S-	- 1		F	Projec	t No.: 6154100200
	VIAUTE	:U	Boring I	No.: S-1		Sample Type	e: Undisturbed				
			Descript	ion: Reddish	Brown Sandy	/ Silt					
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	67-04						



Thu, 22-JUL-2010 10:08:11



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Ch	eck	Date	Test File
Ο	UD	103	34.1	16.5-18.5ft	JW	7/2/10	848	8	20	10	10334.1_2581.dat
Δ	UD	103	34.2	16.5-18.5ft	JW	7/2/10	245	8	20	011	10334.2a_2582.dat
	UD	103	334.3	16.5-18.5ft	JW	7/2/10	248	8	20	10	10334.3a_2583.dat
							•				
214		• ^	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S	- 1			Projec	t No.: 6154100200
	VIACTE	:C	Boring	No.: S-1		Sample Typ	e: Undisturbed				
			Descrip	tion: Reddish	Brown Sand	y Silt					
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	57-04						



Thu, 22-JUL-2010 13:17:36

Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Che	ck D	ate	Test File
Ο	UD	103	338.1	61.5-63.5ft	JW	7/12/10	828	8	20	10	10338.1a_2581.dat
Δ	UD	103	338.2	61.5-63.5ft	JW	7/12/10	867	8'	20	10	10338.2a_2582.dat
	UD	103	338.3	61.5-63.5ft	JW	7/12/10	888	8	20	10	10338.3a_2583.dat
									1	L	
212		. ~	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S	-3		P	rojec	t No.: 6154100200
	VIACTE	:U	Boring I	No.: S-3		Sample Typ	e: Undisturbed				
			Descrip	tion: Brown S	ilty Sand						
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	67-04						



Thu, 22-JUL-2010 10:29:51

ase calculations based on start and end of test



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check D	ate	Test File
O	UD	103	338.1	61.5-63.5ft	JW	7/12/10	Jet	8/20	10	10338.1a_2581.dat
Δ	UD	103	338.2	61.5-63.5ft	JW	7/12/10	222	8/24	5/10	10338.2a_2582.dat
	UD	103	338.3	61.5-63.5ft	WL	7/12/10	268	8/20	01/10	10338.3a_2583.dat
									'	
2117 m	AAATE	· ^	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S-	-3	P	roject	No.: 6154100200
	VIAUTE	:0	Boring I	No.: S-3		Sample Type	e: Undisturbed			
			Descript	ion: Brown S	ilty Sand					
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	67-04					



Thu, 22-JUL-2010 10:40:36



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Che	ck D	ate	Test File
0	UD	103	339.1	63.5-65.5ft	JW	7/15/10	248	8	20	10	10339.1a_2582.dat
Δ	UD	103	337.2	65.5-67.5ft	JW	7/14/10	268	8	20	10	10337.2a_2581.dat
	UD	103	337.3	65.5-67.5ft	JW	7/14/10	248	8	20	10	10337.3_2583.dat
							·	/			
218 m		· ^	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S-	2		P	rojec	t No.: 6154100200
	VIAUTE	:U	Boring I	No.: S-2		Sample Type	: Undisturbed				
			Descrip	ion: Brown S	andy Silt						
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	57-04						



Thu, 22-JUL-2010 10:40:43

Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Chec	k Da	te	Test File
0	UD	103	339.1	63.5-65.5ft	JW	7/15/10	987	8	20	10	10339.1a_2582.dat
Δ	UD	103	337.2	65.5-67.5ft	JW	7/14/10	247	8	20'	10	10337.2a_2581.dat
	UD	103	337.3	65.5-67.5ft	JW	7/14/10	247	8	20	10	10337.3_2583.dat
		i.							•	•	
211		- ^	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S-	-2		Pro	oject	No.: 6154100200
	VIACTE	:0	Boring I	No.: S-2		Sample Type	e: Undisturbed				
			Descrip	tion: Brown S	andy Silt						
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	57-04						



Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Dat	te	Test File
0	UD	103	541.1	41.5-43.5ft	JW	7/8/10	767	8 20	16	10341.1_2581.dat
Δ	UD	103	341.2	41.5-43.5ft	JW	7/8/10	341	8 20	10	10341.2_2582.dat
Ľ	UD	103	341.3	41.5-43.5ft	JW	7/8/10	348	8 20	10	10341.3a_2583.dat
							•		-	
110		· ^	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S-	- 1	Pro	oject	No.: 6154100200
	VIACIE	:0	Boring I	No.: S-1		Sample Type	e: Undisturbed			
			Descrip	ion: Tan San	dy Silt with M	/ica				
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	57-04					



Thu, 22-JUL-2010 10:20:05



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check	Da	te	Test File
0	UD	103	341.1	41.5-43.5ft	JW	7/8/10	947	8/2	01	0	10341.1_2581.dat
Δ	UD	103	341.2	41.5-43.5ft	JW	7/8/10	348	812	6	10	10341.2_2582.dat
	UD	103	341.3	41.5-43.5ft	JW	7/8/10	898	81	20	10	10341.3a_2583.dat
								ſ			
111		· ~	Project:	Plant Sherer	Ash Pond	Location: S-	-1		Pro	oject	No.: 6154100200
	MACTEC		Boring No.: S-1			Sample Type: Undisturbed					
	De			Description: Tan Sandy Silt with Mica							
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	67-04						





	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check	Date	Test File
Θ	UD	103	542.1	24.5-26.5	JW	7/13/10	997	8/2	0 10	10342.1_2580.dat
Δ	UD	103	642.2	24.5-26.5ft	JW	7/9/10	868	8/2	0/10	10342.2a_2546.dat
	UD	103	36.3	26.5-28.5ft	JW	7/13/10	828	8/2	0/10	10336.3_2546.dat
111 B	AAATE	~	Project:	Plant Schere	er Ash Pond	Location: S-	3		Projec	t No.: 6154100200
	VIAUTE	:U	Boring I	No.: S-3		Sample Type	e: Undisturbed			
			Descript	ion: Brown S	andy Silt					
			Remarks: ASTM D4767-04							



Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No.	Tes	t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Che	ck D	ate	Test File
O	UD	103	342.1	24.5-26.5	JW	7/13/10	287	8	20	10	10342.1_2580.dat
Δ	UD	103	342.2	24.5-26.5ft	JW	7/9/10	362	8	20	110	10342.2a_2546.dat
	UD	103	336.3	26.5-28.5ft	WL	7/13/10	268	8	120	110	10336.3_2546.dat
							-	/			
243 8		· ^	Project:	Plant Schere	er Ash Pond	Location: S-	-3		P	rojec	t No.: 6154100200
	VIAUTE	:し	Boring	No.: S-3		Sample Typ	e: Undisturbed				
			Descrip	tion: Brown S	andy Silt						
			Remark	s: ASTM D476	67-04						



Thu, 22-JUL-2010 13:22:41

Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



Sample No. Test		t No.	Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Checked By Check		ate	Test File		
O	UD	10343.1		21.5-23.5ft	JW	7/2/10	947	8	20	10	10343.1_2547.dat	
Δ	UD	10343.2		21.5-23.5ft	JW	7/2/10	947	8	20	110	10343.2_2546.dat	
	UD	103	343.3	21.5-23.5ft	JW	7/4/10	242	8	20	110	10343.3a_2583.dat	
MACTE		OTEO Projec		ect: Plant Sherer Ash Pond		Location: S-2				Project No.: 6154100200		
		:U	Boring	No.: S-2		Sample Type: Undisturbed						
			Description: Brown Sandy Silt									
			Remarks: ASTM D4767-04									



Thu, 29-JUL-2010 15:02:43

Phase calculations based on start and end of test.



	Sample No. Test No.		Depth	Tested By	Test Date	Checked By	Check Date		te	Test File	
Ο	UD	103	43.1	21.5-23.5ft	JW	7/2/10	768	8	20/	10	10343.1_2547.dat
Δ	UD	103	643.2	21.5-23.5ft	JW	7/2/10	948	81:	20	10	10343.2_2546.dat
	UD	103	643.3	21.5-23.5ft	JW	7/4/10	248	8	20	10	10343.3a_2583.dat
									,		
MACTE		CTEC Project: Plant Shere Boring No.: S-2		Project: Plant Sherer Ash Pond			-2		Project No.: 615410		t No.: 6154100200
					Sample Type: Undisturbed						
			Description: Brown Sandy Silt								
			Remarks: ASTM D4767-04								

Attachment D

Foundation soil p' – q' Plot 1976 Historic Data with Linear Regression



CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

				-	
	u.	CALCULATION (OVER SHEET	Calc. No	7
Pl.	at 1	ň		SDS No.	
PROJEC	T <u>Scherer</u>	DISCIPLINE_	Hydro	No. of Shee	ets _2
SUBJEC	T renth Proper	tion of F	6 80		
TITLE		1105 01 101	<u>n. p q -</u>		
Stre	ngth of Fda	d'tc'			10) 10
STATEM	ENT OF PROBLEM	for Ash Por	d Foundat	1' .	. स
usin	g P-Q curv	e			14 g
-	2 N	1. The second	- 話	a • • • •	
	2 2	·			M .
	(6)	INDE	X		<u> </u>
TOPIC		PG NO			PG NO
Summary	of Conclusions	2	Reference	S	
Criteri	a and Assumptions		Body of C	alculations	/- 2
Compute	r Printout Refere	nces			
Calcula	tions have been p	repared in accord	ance with Engi	neering Proced	dure
Manual	Procedure 4-4			20	
	AAL	Prepar	red by <u>CC</u>	Dat	te <u>//-2-76</u>
Rev i ewe	d by Kobert 1	rager	D	ate <u>11-2-7</u>	6
REV. NO.	E	DESCRIPTION		BY	TEREVIEWED
A	FOR AP	PROVA	······································	ec	ROP 1-2-74
				11-0	
	e est ar	5			
		SCH-API 025			
	21 21				
	<u></u>	CONFIDENTIAL	BUSINESS		
12					
0104 45 5		:			
SIZA_AR_7					

-*)

 $\left[\right]$

]

[&]quot;CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION"

Southern Services, Inc.

	CALANIA	F POD	EDD CUDD L	Elle DE De	Paulo Francis			
SUBJECT	CALCULAT	E T Y Q	eve copp k	CSULT OF HSH	POND POU	NDATION	SHEET	OF .
Boeing #	σ.'	σ.'	d'+0'	$\sigma' - \sigma'$				
				2				
				× .				
C-167	6.3	1.6	3.95	2.35				
	10.8	2.6	6.7	4.1				
	13.2	3.8	8.5	4.1				
C- 111	39	1.0	2.45	1.45				
	6.4	8.0	4.2	2.2				
	12.1	4.0	8.35	4.35				
C-172	6.1	1.5	3.8	2.3				
	9.0	2.0	5.5	3.5				
	12.6	4.0	8.3	4.3				
C-174	2.9	.9	1.9	1.0				
¥	5.2	2.0	3.6	1.6				
	9.2	3.8	6.5	2.2				
		L						
C-166	9.1	1.6	3.15	1.55				
:1	6.4	2.4	4.4	2.				
·	10.5	5.0	1.75	2.15			•	
C-1010	2.5	1.5	2.0	.05				
EL 22'-24	4.7	1.8	3.25	1.45				
	8.0	3.3	5.65	2.35				
		· · ·						
C-106	3.9	.5	2.2	1.7				
6.17'-19'	5.1	1.7	3.7	2.0				
0	8.4	3.0	5.7	2.1				
1-102	3.2	.6	1.95	1.34				
A	4.5	1.1	18	1.1				
	82	3.9	6.05	215				

"CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION"

and the set of g



"CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION"